

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

JUN 30 2003

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of)	
)	
Section 272(f)(1) Sunset of the BOC Separate)	WC Docket No. 02-112
Affiliate and Related Requirements)	
)	
)	
2000 Biennial Regulatory Review)	CC Docket No. 00-175
Separate Affiliate Requirements of Section)	
64.1903 of the Commission's Rules)	

COMMENTS OF AT&T CORP.

Leonard J. Cali
Lawrence J. Lafaro
Stephen C. Garavito
James J.R. Talbot
Aryeh S. Friedman

AT&T CORP.
One AT&T Way
Bedminster, N.J. 07921
(908) 532-1847

June 30, 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION	1
I. THE ILECS REMAIN DOMINANT CARRIERS BECAUSE OF THE OVERWHELMING MARKET POWER CONFERRED BY THEIR CONTINUING CONTROL OF THE LOCAL BOTTLENECK	1
1. BOCs Retain Significant Market Power Years After Section 271 Approval	8
2. ILEC Control of the Local Bottleneck Confers Market Power In All Downstream Markets.	18
II. ILEC CONTROL OF BOTTLENECK FACILITIES CONFERS THE MARKET POWER TO ENGAGE IN PRICE SQUEEZES, MISALLOCATE COSTS AND DISCRIMINATE AGAINST UNAFFILIATED INTERLATA COMPETITORS	23
1. BOCs Are Engaging in Price Squeezes By Setting Their Long Distance Rates At or Below Their Switched Access Prices	26
2. BOCs Are Engaging in Price Squeezes By Raising Their Special Access Rates.....	31
3. BOCs Have Engaged in a Variety of Other Cost Misallocations That Distort Competition in InterLATA Markets	33
4. The BOCs Have Abused Their Local Bottlenecks By Engaging In Other Forms of Discriminatory Conduct That Disadvantages Their InterLATA Competitors.....	42
III. DOMINANT CARRIER REGULATION IS ESPECIALLY CRITICAL IF BOCS PROVIDE LOCAL AND LONG DISTANCE SERVICES ON AN INTEGRATED BASIS	46
1. Dominant Carrier Regulation is Necessary to Deter and Detect the Abuse of BOC Market Power	47
2. Section 208 Fails to Provide an Adequate Substitute for Dominant Carrier Regulation.....	53
3. U.S. Trade Commitments Require Regulatory Safeguards to Prevent Anticompetitive Practices by BOCs and ILECs	55

IV.	CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES REQUIRE A DIFFERENT APPROACH FROM THAT TAKEN BY THE <i>LEC CLASSIFICATION ORDER</i>	56
1.	The Commission's Prior Nondominant Regulation of BOC Interexchange Affiliates Placed Critical Reliance Upon Section 272	59
2.	Market Developments Assist BOCs' Ability to Abuse Market Power.....	63
V.	DOMINANT CARRIER REGULATION MUST CONTINUE UNTIL THE COMMISSION ADOPTS REFORMS PREVENTING INCUMBENT ABUSE OF LOCAL BOTTLENECKS THROUGH PRICE AND NON-PRICE DISCRIMINATION.	68
1.	The Need for Dominant Carrier Regulation Is Paramount Unless and Until BOC Access Cost Advantages Are Eliminated, Special Access Rates Are Reduced to Reasonable Levels, and Adequate Performance Measures and Imputation Requirements Are Adopted and Enforced	69
1.	Continuing Dominant Carrier Regulation Until Adequate Safeguards Are Developed to Limit Abuse of the Local Bottleneck is Not Unduly Burdensome.....	74
VI.	INDEPENDENT LECS SHOULD REMAIN SUBJECT TO EXISTING SAFEGUARDS	76
	Conclusion	78

**DOCUMENT AVAILABLE
IN THE LEAD
DOCKET/RULEMAKING**

SEE DOCKET NO. *02-112* FOR THE DOCUMENT.